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## INTRODUCTION

The Colville River on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain supports substantial populations of Arctic cisco (Coregonus autumnalis), least cisco (C. sardinella), broad whitefish (C. nasus), humpback whitefish (C. pidschian), and Dolly Varden char (Salvelinus malma) that have historically been harvested by native people (Murdoch 1884; Steffanson 1913). In contrast to the commercial fishery, for which there is an abundance of data, there is scant information on harvest levels for subsistence fisheries in the remainder of the Colville drainage (Craig and Haldorson 1981; George and Kovalsky 1986; George and Nageak 1986). The harvest from the village fishery is retained for food, trade, or other subsistence uses.

The primary objectives of this study were to (1) obtain estimates of the total effort and catch for the fall fishery in the delta, including harvests of both the village of Nuiqsut and the commercial fishery, and (2) evaluate the effects of these harvest levels on the stocks. The lack of information on harvest levels, coupled with concern for possible effects on the fish stocks from coastal developments around the Prudhoe Bay oilfields, prompted this effort to evaluate current harvest levels and develop recommendations for future management strategies.

METHODS
The study area included the Colville River from the Itkillik River downstream to Harrison Bay. The study area was divided into four areas based on known areas of concentrated fishing effort. Monitoring of the fall under-ice gill net fishery began in early October and continued through midNovember from 1985 to 1987. Within the four main areas each net was identified and tracked throughout the entire time the net was fishing. This method chronicled the start and end dates of fishing for each net, net locations, net length, and mesh size; thus, there was a virtually complete census of fishing effort.

During the main fishing season, village and commercial catches were sampled daily for species composition, number of fish caught, and fork length to the nearest mm. Fish were also examined for tags, fin clips, and dye marks. Whenever catch data were collected, set duration, net length, and mesh size data were also recorded so that catch
rates could be calculated for the net set. In 1986 and 1987, otoliths were obtained from Arctic cisco captured in $76-\mathrm{mm}$ ( 3.0 inch) stretched mesh nets to evaluate the age composition of the Arctic cisco catch. In 1987, otoliths were also collected from least cisco caught in 76-mm mesh. Aging was completed by the cross-sectional burn technique. Fish used for aging were selected from 76-mm mesh nets because it is the most common mesh size used in the fishery.

Effort was calculated in net-days by using the start and end dates for each individually-tracked net. The catch rate was estimated by treating each individual sample (usually the catch from one net on a given day) as an independent sample. The total effort expended by each mesh size in each area and the associated estimated catch rates were calculated for each 10-day interval during the fishing season, starting on October 1. Estimated catches for each mesh size by 10-day interval were then calculated and summed to provide the estimates of total catch. In many cases in the Outer Colville Delta, especially in 1986 and 1987, complete counts of total catch were obtained from individual fishermen.

In 1984 and 1985, the release and subsequent recapture of a substantial number of tagged cisco by studies near Prudhoe Bay (Moulton et al. 1936; Envirosphere 1987) allowed an estimate of the total number of fish available to the fishery. Tagging was suspended following 1985, so subsequent evaluation of population size was based on changes in catch rate in the fishery.

## RESULTS

Distribution of Fishing Effort. The number of Nuiqsut fishing groups (a family or group of families fishing cooperatively) participating in the under-ice fishery decreased from 30 in 1985 to 25 in 1986 and increased to 34 in 1987. Fishing effort was concentrated on the Upper Nigliq area because of its proximity to town.

A 49 percent decrease in total effort from 1985 to 1986 in the Outer Colville Delta was caused by reduced effort by the commercial fishery and the lack of Nuiqsut fishing in the East Channel. The 32 percent increase from 1986 to 1987 was primarily caused by increased commercial fishing, since the village effort decreased markedly. The commercial fishery accounted for $34 i 23$ and 39 percent of the total effort expended in the fall fishery from 1985 to 1987.

Arctic cisco, the target species, dominated the catch, comprising about 75 percent of the total catch over the survey period. Least cisco was the dominant incidental species, with small broad whitefish caught in the Nigliq Channel and humpback whitefish caught in both the Nigliq Channel and Outer Colville Delta. Fourhorn sculpin was the
only other species taken consistently, but it was rarely utilized.

Comparative Catch Rates. The mean catch rates of both Arctic cisco and least cisco are higher in the Outer Colville Delta than in the Upper Nigliq area. Within the Nigliq Channel, mean catch rates of Arctic cisco were highest near the Nigliq Delta and declined upstream near the village. Least cisco mean catch rates showed the opposite trend, being highest near the village and decreasing downstream.

The highest catch rates for Arctic cisco during the three year survey period were recorded in 1986 in the Outer Delta and the Nigliq Delta. These catch rate patterns were interpreted as indicating that Arctic cisco abundance was highest in 1986 compared to the other two years.

Estimated Total Catch. The total catch of Arctic cisco in the Colville region has declined during the survey period. While the catch decreased approximately 10 percent from 1985 to 1986, it was accompanied by a 38 percent reduction in total effort. The 23 percent reduction in catch from 1986 to 1987 was accompanied by a 61 percent increase in total effort, reflecting the overall reduced catch rate.
Conversely, the least cisco total catches have followed the direction of the effort, although not the same magnitude of change, decreasing by 53 percent from 1985 to 1986 and increasing 12 percent from 1986 to 1987.

Age Composition. The age composition of Arctic cisco caught in 76-mm mesh was dominated by ages 6 and 7 in 1986 and ages 7 and 8 in 1987, reflecting the strength of the 1979 and 1980 year-classes in the fishery. In three previous years for which age data from the fishery are available (19761978), age-5 or 6 has dominated, although other ages often comprised major portions of the catch. The 1976-1978 data also show changes in age structure likely resulting from strong and weak year-classes moving through the fishery. Since Arctic cisco mature at age-8 or older, the fishery harvests immature fish.

In 1987, least cisco captured in $76-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh were dominated by ages 9 to 12 with ages 8 and 13 to 15 also common. Full recruitment occurred at age 9, at a mean fork length of 308 M.M. No single age group was dominant after full recruitment. Since anadromous least cisco mature at age 7 or 8 (Craig and Haldorson 1981) and fishing occurs after the spawning season, the fishery harvests least cisco that have spawned at least once.

Tag Returns. During the three-year survey, over 2,870 tags were returned from fish tagged in various studies in the Beaufort Sea coastal region since 1976, with over 65 percent
of these tags being returned by the commercial fishery. The rate of tag recapture for Arctic cisco and least cisco from the various release years was calculated to evaluate the persistence of tags in the population. For least cisco, the tags decreased at a mean rate of 28 percent per year, while Arctic cisco tags decreased by nearly 70 percent per year for the first three years, then were absent from the population. The rate of decline for tagged least cisco is considered to be an indication of total mortality, plus tag shedding, but for Arctic cisco is support for the Mackenzieorigin hypothesis of Arctic cisco inhabiting-the Colville River.

In all three years, Arctic cisco tagged in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge were recaptured during the fall fishery. In 1987 a least cisco tagged in the 1987 Camden Bay study was recaptured in the East Channel. The recoveries of Arctic cisco released in the eastern Beaufort Sea may represent the extent of eastward movement by Colville area fish during the summer feeding period and/or movement of adult fish from the Mackenzie River region to the Colville region.

Population Estimates and Trends. There was an estimated 16.5 percent increase in the number of catchable Arctic cisco between 1984 and 1985 as the abundant 1978 year class grew into harvestable size. In 1986, the more abundant 1979/1980 year-classes were almost fully recruited into the fishery and by 1987 the catches were dominated by the 1980 year-class.

The catch rates in the commercial fishery, which have been used as Arctic cisco abundance indices for the Colville region (Gallaway et al. 1983), indicate the relative strength of these year-classes. Contrary to the population estimates, the Arctic cisco catch rate decreased almost 13 percent between 1984 and 1985, although the catch rates were high compared to the historical average. In both years the fishery was dominated by the 1978 year-class with larger members of the 1979 year-class available in 1985. In 1986, when the 1979 and 1930 year-classes entered the fishery, the catch rates were the highest in the 21 -year record. There was a 58 percent decrease from 1986 to 1987 as the 1979 year-class and larger members of the 1980 year-class moved out of the fishery, however, the catch rate was the second highest on record.

The least cisco catch rates in the commercial fishery have fluctuated less dramatically than the Arctic cisco catch rates because the population has a greater range of ages in the harvestable stock and the catches are less influenced by individual year-classes. The basic pattern has been a gradual, but significant, increase in catch rate over the last 21 years.

Historical Catch Levels. Catch data are available from the commercial fishery for the last 21 years, while the village of Nuiqsut was founded at its present site in the early 1970's. It is likely that the current levels of effort were reached beginning in the mid to late 1970's as the village stabilized and fishing patterns became established. Over the last ten years, the mean annual commercial catch has been 22,300 Arctic cisco $(S D=8,587)$ and 21,500 least cisco (SD=9,252). If it is assumed that the ratio of village to commercial catch observed from 1985 to 1987 represented an average condition, then the commercial harvest has averaged 46 percent of the Arctic cisco catch and-59 percent of the least cisco catch, and the mean annual catches for the village and commercial fisheries for the last ten years were 48,500 Arctic cisco and 36,400 least cisco. As evident from the 1985 to 1987 estimates and historical pattern of catch rates, there is substantial variation between years.

DISCUSSION
Variability in Effort and Catch. During the three years of survey, both village and commercial fishing effort fluctuated drastically. Village effort fluctuated primarily because of competing requirements for time, including employment, or lack of employment, and pursuit of other resources. The early fishing period coincides with whaling season and hunting and processing of whales in 1986 and 1987 likely reduced the early and mid October effort in those two years. The commercial effort responded to both catch rate and market conditions. The fisherman sets a desired catch level based on anticipated markets (within a maximum harvest quota) and adjusts effort based on the observed catch rate. The high catch rate in 1986 allowed reaching a desired harvest with minimal effort.

The increase in Arctic cisco catch rate from 1985 to 1986, resulting in the highest catch rate seen in the commercial fishery in 21 years of record, was caused by the full recruitment of the 1979 and 1980 year-classes into the fishery. There was a pool of Arctic cisco that were inaccessible to $76-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh nets in 1985, but these grew to a harvestable size in 1986. This group of fish dominated fish samples in Beaufort Sea coastal studies between 1982 and 1985 (Griffiths et al. 1983; Woodward-Clyde Consultants 1983; Moulton and Fawcett 1984; Moulton et al. 1986). The data also indicate that few young fish entered the region from-1981 to 1984, thus few are available to recruit into the fishery. The 1987 catch continued to be composed of 1980 and 1979 year class fish that remained in the Colville region prior to maturation, these will likely be gone in 1988. The catch rate of Arctic cisco in the commercial fishery declined 58 percent between 1986 and 1987 and will likely decline farther in 1988. The catch rates will remain
low until fall, 1990 or 1991, when the 1985 year class begins to enter the fishery. The catches will remain stable for several years in the early to mid-1990,ls because young Arctic cisco showed apparent strong recruitment into the region from 1985 to 1987.

Impact of Fishery on Stocks. The exploitation rate on Arctic cisco cannot yet be accurately estimated. The estimated harvest of Arctic cisco in 1985, 70,400 fish, represented approximately 6 percent of the harvestable Arctic cisco, assuming that all of the released tagged Arctic cisco in the Prudhoe Bay area moved to the Colville region in late summer and were vulnerable to the fall fishery. If substantial numbers of tagged Arctic cisco moved elsewhere, such as moving eastward to the Mackenzie River, then the proportion of the population in the Colville would decrease and the harvest rate on those fish utilizing the Colville Delta would increase.

Evidence of substantial eastward movement by Arctic cisco is beginning to emerge as sampling effort increases in the eastern Beaufort Sea. In 1986 and 1987, twelve Arctic cisco tagged in the Prudhoe Bay region were recovered in Canada after being at large 1 to 6 years. There is also westward movement of large Arctic cisco from the Mackenzie region into the Colville region during the summer, as evidenced by the recapture of Arctic cisco tagged east of Kaktovik.

For least cisco, the Pattern is more clear. Virtually all least cisco tagged near Prudhoe Bay entered the Colville Delta in late summer and were vulnerable to the fishery (Moulton et al. 1986). The estimated harvest of 33,400 anadromous least cisco in 1985 represented approximately 10 percent of the harvestable fish. The catch rates of least cisco in the 1986 commercial fishery increased slightly over those in 1985, indicating that the harvestable population was of similar size in both years. The total catch, however, decreased over 50 percent because of the reduced effort, thus the 1986 exploitation rate may have been around 5 percent. Using the same reasoning for 1937 (commercial fishery catch rates approximately 18 percent less than 1985 levels while total catch was 46 percent less), the exploitation rate was likely between 5 and 10 percent.

## SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The three years of investigation on the Colville River cisco fishery reveals that the harvest levels are presently within an acceptable range. The stocks do not exhibit characteristics often seen in over-fished populations and the catch rates of both Arctic cisco and least cisco are high compared to the previous twenty years of record. As discussed, the recent high catch rates for Arctic cisco were a result of a high recruitment of young in 1980; since this
group of fish has grown out of the fishery, catches are predicted to decline in 1988 and remain low until the 1985 to 1987 year-classes reach harvestable size. At that time, around 1990, catches should increase and remain stable for several years.

Because the present harvest levels appear to be within an acceptable range, i.e. are not adversely affecting stock levels, it is recommended that no changes be made in the management of the fishery at this time. Monitoring of the fishery should focus on estimating effort, catch rates and age structure so that the effects of increased fishing effort or harvest level will not go undetected. Monitoring of juvenile abundance and age or size structure would also allow predicting the future direction of catch rates based on abundant or weak year-classes.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Colville River on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain supports substantial populations of Arctic cisco (Coregonus autumnalis), least cisco (C. sardinella), broad whitefish (C. nasus), humpback whitefish (C. pidschian), and Dolly Varden char (Salvelinus malma) ${ }^{1}$ that have historically been harvested by native people (Murdoch 1884; Steffanson 1913). The only licensed commercial fishery on the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska has operated in the Colville Delta for over 30 years. The fishery has a well-documented history because of the detailed catch records maintained for the past 21 years by participants in the fishery. Aside from this fishery, for which there is an abundance of data, there is scant information on harvest levels for subsistence fisheries in the remainder of the Colville drainage. Craig and Haldorson (1981) estimated that the commercial fishery accounted for approximately half of the total delta harvest in 1978. George and Nageak (1986) reported on the characteristics of the summer and fall fishery in 1984 and estimated that a minimum of 12,000 Arctic cisco (likely more) were harvested by Nuiqsut fishermen in that year. George and Kovalsky (1986) provided details on fishing activity, catch rates and mesh selectivity in the Outer Colville Delta in the 1985 fall fishery. The harvest from the village fishery is retained for food, trade, or other subsistence uses.

The primary objectives of this study were to (1) obtain estimates of the total effort and catch for the fall fishery in the delta, including harvests of both the village of Nuiqsut and the commercial fishery, and (2) evaluate the effects of these harvest levels on the stocks. The lack of information on harvest levels, coupled with concern for possible effects on the fish stocks from coastal developments around the Prudhoe Bay oilfields, prompted this effort to

[^0]evaluate current harvest levels and develop recommendations for future management strategies.

The evaluation of fishery harvest on Arctic cisco and least cisco in the Colville region assumes that the Arctic cisco are derived from spawning stocks in the Mackenzie River and that the young fish recruit into the Colville region early in life, as described in Gallaway et al. (1983). The recruitment of age 0 Arctic cisco into the Colville River region is thought to be by passive transport in westerly currents generated by the predominantly easterly winds in the Beaufort Sea region. The strength of the recruitment may be related to the percentage of easterly winds from June to September (Fechhelm and Fissel 1988). The Arctic cisco are assumed to return to the Mackenzie River at maturity to spawn. The anadromous least cisco population being harvested is assumed to spawn and overwinter entirely in the Colville Delta and lower river (Moulton et al. in prep.).

METHODS
Study Area
The study area included the Colville River from the Itkillik River downstream to Harrison Bay (Figure 1). The study area was divided into four areas based on those areas of concentrated fishing effort: (1) the Outer Colville Delta; (2) the Upper Nigliq Channel near Nuiqsut; (3) the Nanuk area of the Nigliq Channel; and (4) the Nigliq Delta.

Effort Estimation
The assessment and monitoring of the fall under-ice fishery based in Nuiqsut began in early October and continued through mid-November from 1985 to 1987. Gill nets were used exclusively. The standard unit of effort was a net-day, defined as an 18rm (60rft) gill net fished for 24 hours. Net depth was considered a fixed variable in the calculation of effort, since most nets were of comparable depth (1.8 or $2.4 \mathrm{~m})$.

Within the four main areas each net was identified and monitored throughout the entire time the net was fishing. This method chronicled the start and end dates of fishing for each net, net locations, net length, and mesh size. Thus, except for a few cases noted later, there was a virtually complete census of fishing effort.

During the main fishing season, village catches were sampled daily for species composition, number of fish caught, and fork length to the nearest mm . Fish were also examined for tags, fin clips, and dye marks applied by other fisheries studies in the region. Whenever possible, tagged fish were measured to the nearest $m m$ and the date, location of capture, and species were recorded. A reward system was used to encourage tag returns. Whenever catch data were collected, set duration, net length, and mesh size data were also recorded so that catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) could be calculated for the net set.

Daily catch and effort by individual net from the commercial fishery in the Outer Colville Delta were provided by the commercial fisherman. The commercial fisherman also provided weekly length frequencies by species for each mesh size. Lengths were taken from 50 fish selected randomly on each Monday of the fishing season.


Figure 1. Colville River study region showing major survey areas.

In 1986 and 1987, otoliths were obtained each year from 200 randomly-selected Arctic cisco captured in 76-mm (3.0-inch) stretched mesh nets to evaluate the age composition of the Arctic cisco catch. In 1987, otoliths were also collected from 200 least cisco caught in $76-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh. Aging was completed by the cross-sectional burn technique (Beamish and Chilton 1982).

In 1986 and 1987, the effectiveness of various mesh sizes was evaluated by assisting local fishermen with setting and checking of test nets. Test nets ( $18-\mathrm{m}$ long, $76-\mathrm{mm}$ and $82-\mathrm{mm}$ stretched mesh) were given to local fishermen in exchange for daily information on the catch from each mesh size.

Salinity Measurements
In 1987, salinity was measured from October 23 to 30 at three stations (Stations 1.1, 3.7 and 8.0, Figure 1) near the mouth of the Main Channel in the Outer Colville Delta between river kilometer 1.1 and 8.0, while catch rates were recorded from four stations between river kilometer 0 (Station 0) and 8.0. The salinity and catch rate data were collected when and where fishing activity allowed, not through a pre-determined sampling design; thus, the information is temporally and spatial sporadic. Measurements were taken at 1.0 m intervals from just uder the ice to the bottom with a YSI Model 33 salinometer.

Mean salinity was calculated as the mean value of the salinity recordings starting at 2.0 m below the surface of the ice. There was normally a low salinity layer just under the ice (see Appendix), however, the nets were suspended below this layer, thus any fish in this layer were not represented in the catch. Nets were normally hung off bottom to avoid fourhorn sculpin, but at least 1.0 m below the ice to avoid freezing the float line into the under side of the ice.

Data Analysis
Effort was calculated in net-days by using the start and end dates for each individually-tracked net. Effort data were corrected for the various net lengths and set durations used in the fishery by standardizing net length to 18 m and set duration to 24 h .

The CPUE was estimated by treating each individual sample (usually the catch from one net on a given day) as an independent sample. The total effort expended by each mesh size in each area and the associated estimated CPUE were calculated for each 10-day interval during the fishing season, starting on October 1. Estimated catches for each mesh size by 10-day interval were then calculated and summed to provide the estimates of total catch. In some cases, CPUE was not
measured for a mesh size in a given area for each 10-day interval because of low fishing effort. In these instances, CPUE was estimated from adjacent time periods or by extrapolating from the relative catch rate of that mesh in nearby fishing areas. In one case, the East Channel of the Outer Colville Delta in 1985, effort data were not available but the fisherman returned 150 tags. In this case, the total catch was estimated from the ratio of tagged to untagged fish caught in the commercial fishery, which operated nearby in the same channel.

In many cases in the Outer Colville Delta, especially in 1986 and 1987, complete counts of total catch were obtained. These instances of actual counts, rather than estimated catches, are identified when the data are utilized.

In both the village and commercial fishery, 76rmm mesh nets were the preferred gear. Catch rate indices used for comparisons among areas and years and evaluation of changes in length distributions were based on $76 r \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh.

Instantaneous mortality rates for least cisco in the Colville Delta were estimated using two independent sets of data:
the annual decrease in tagged least cisco that have been released in the Prudhoe Bay region since 1980 and (2) the decrease in age frequency after full recruitment to the fishery. The partitioning of the instantaneous mortality rates in a fishery is expressed by the following equation (Ricker 1975):

$$
Z=F+M
$$

```
where Z = total mortality
    F = fishing mortality
    M = natural mortality
```

Other parameters needed to estimate the instantaneous mortality rates include the annual mortality rate (A) and the exploitation rate (u). The relationships between these parameters are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=1-e^{-z} \\
& u=(F / Z)\left(1-e^{-z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The total annual mortality rate (A) was estimated from the annual decline in tag returns from a given release year over subsequent years and from the decrease in age frequency after full recruitment (Ricker 1975). In both cases, the natural log of the recapture or age frequency was regressed on the year of observation and the slope was taken as an estimate of Z (Ricker 1975). The rate of exploitation (u) was calculated as that portion of the total stock that is harvested by the fishery. The estimates of $A$ and $u$ were used to calculate the instantaneous rates.

In 1984 and 1985, the release and subsequent recapture of a substantial number of tagged cisco by studies near Prudhoe Bay (Moulton et al. 1986, Envirosphere 1987) allowed an estimate of the total number of fish available to the fishery. Tagging was suspended following 1985, so subsequent evaluation of population size was based on changes in catch rate in the fishery. The method for estimating population size was the same used by Craig and Haldorson (1981) for Colville River Arctic and least cisco and was based on analysis techniques described in Ricker (1975, p. 78). One adjustment, however, must be made to the total number of tags released. Fish used for tagging were captured by fyke net while tagged fish were recaptured by gill net. The number of tags released was corrected to the number of tags vulnerable to gill nets as described in Ricker (1975, p. 93-95).

RESULTS
Distribution of Fishing Effort
The number of Nuiqsut fishing groups (a family or group of families fishing cooperatively) participating in the under-ice fishery fluctuated from 30 in 1985 to 25 in 1986 and back up to 34 in 1987. Effort was concentrated in the four main survey areas: the Upper Nigliq area near Nuiqsut, the Nanuk area (near Nanuk Lake on the Nigliq Channel), the Nigliq Delta and in the Outer Colville Delta. There was some fishing effort on Fish Creek and on the upper Colville River, particularly early in the season with the effort directed at Arctic grayling and large broad whitefish, but these areas were not surveyed in order to concentrate the sampling effort on the cisco fishery.

Fishing effort was concentrated on the Upper Nigliq area because of its proximity to town (Table 1). Fishing on the Nigliq Channel began at Nuiqsut when the ice became safe enough to set nets, usually in early October. The first net was set on October 2 in 1985, October 3 in 1986 and October 8 in 1987, with the differences due to annual differences in the time of ice formation. Fishing in other Nigliq Channel areas was delayed until the second or third week of October because of overflow or unsafe ice conditions, then fishing continued until approximately November 20. Fishing effort on the Nigliq Channel was greatest in mid-October to early November, then decreased by mid-November (Figure 2). By mid-November, daylight is reduced and the thickness of the ice interferes with operation of the nets.

The most popular mesh size is 76 rmm stretched mesh (Table 2). In 1985, the second most frequently used mesh was 64 mm (2.5 inch, 23 percent), but use of this mesh declined in the following two years. In contrast, 83 -mm ( 3.25 rinch) mesh increased from 9 percent of the effort in 1985 to 13 and 29 percent in 1986 and 1987. Some effort was expended with 102mm (4.0-inch) mesh, a popular mesh in the summer fishery, but it proved ineffective at catching ciscos.

During 1985 to 1987, the fishery in the Outer Colville Delta was comprised of 2 to 6 groups from Nuiqsut who stayed at fish camps and the commercial fishery at Colville Village. The Main Channel received the most effort by Nuiqsut residents while the East Channel was fished only by the commercial fishery in 1986 and 1987 (Table 1). One Nuiqsut group fished the East Channel in 1985. In all three years over 76 percent of the effort in the Main Channel was with 76 mm mesh. The remainder of the effort was spread between 64, 83, 89 and 102 -mm mesh.

Table 1. Total estimated fishing effort in the Colville Delta fall fishery, 1985-1987 (effort in net-days, i.e. 24 h per 18 m of net, all meshes combined).

| Survey Area | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nigliq Channel | 870 | 592 | 961 |
| Upper Nigliq | -- | 216 | 236 |
| Nanuk | 340 | 97 | 90 |
| Nigliq Delta | 1,210 | 905 | 1,287 |
| AREA TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Outer Colville Delta |  |  |  |
| Main Channel | 318 | 365 | 89 |
| Village | 480 | 38 | 0 |
| Commercial | 225 | 428 | 340 |
| East Channel | 1,451 | 743 | 890 |
| Village |  |  | 979 |
| Commercial | 2,661 | 1,648 | 2,266 |
| AREA TOTAL |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EFFORT |  |  |  |

Table 2. Percentage of effort expended by various gill net mesh sizes in the Nigliq Channel, 1985-1987.

| Stretched | 1985 |  | 1986 |  | 1987 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effort In |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effort } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Mesh (mm) | Net Days | \% | Net Days | \% | Net Days | \% |
| 60 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 184 | 23 | 69 | 8 | 58 | 6 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 |
| 76 | 346 | 43 | 638 | 70 | 448 | 48 |
| 83 | 74 | 9 | 114 | 13 | 274 | 29 |
| 89 | 170 | 21 | 80 | 9 | 124 | 13 |



Figure 2. Fishing effort in the Upper Nigliq area by 10-day interval, 1985-1987.

The total effort in the Outer Colville Delta decreased 49 percent from 1985 to 1986, then increased 32 percent in 1987 (Table 1). The decrease in effort from 1985 to 1986 was caused by reduced effort by the commercial fishery and the lack of Nuiqsut fishing in the East Channel. The increase in effort from 1986 to 1987 was primarily caused by increased commercial fishing, since the village effort decreased markedly. The commercial fishery accounted for 34, 23 and 39 percent of the total effort expended in the fall fishery from 1985 to 1987.

The reduction in village effort from 1985 to 1986 resulted from (1) an injury to one of the main fishermen, (2) nonparticipation by another major fisherman because of employment commitments, and (3) transfer of effort to process a bowhead whale. In the commercial fishery, the high catch rate of Arctic cisco resulted in an acceptable harvest with reduced effort. The increase in effort from 1986 to 1987 resulted from (1) an increased effort in the Upper Nigliq (Figure 2) caused by reduced employment opportunities in Nuiqsut and (2) increased effort in the commercial fishery because of the lower catch rate on Arctic cisco and better market conditions. Effort by villagers in the Outer Colville Delta in 1987, however, continued to decrease and was only 16 percent of the effort recorded in 1985. The reduction in village effort in the Outer Delta was mainly a result of economic conditions, including employment commitments and lack of snow machines.

Catch Composition
Arctic cisco, the target species, dominates the catch, comprising about 75 percent of the total catch over the survey period (Table 3). Least cisco is the dominant incidental species, with small broad whitefish caught in the Nigliq Channel and humpback whitefish caught in both the Nigliq Channel and Outer Colville Delta. Fourhorn sculpin is the only other species taken consistently, but it is rarely utilized.

In 1986 and 1987, Bering cisco (Coregonus laurettae) comprised a minor, but unquantified, component of the catch. The species had been virtually absent from the commercial catches since the 1979-1980 season (J. Helmericks, pers. comm.) and was only encountered in and immediately upstream of the East Channel. In 1986, 44 fish visually identified as Bering cisco by the commercial fisherman were obtained to verify the identification. Gill raker counts indicated nearly complete separation between 30 visually-identified Arctic cisco and the 44 Bering cisco, only one (or 2 percent) of the Bering cisco was mis-identified. The results were virtually identical to those reported in Craig

Table 3. Catch contribution by species as observed during fisherman interviews, by percent contribution of village catch (does not include commercial fishery).

| Species | Nigliq Channel |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outer Colville } \\ 1985 \quad 1986 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Delta } \\ 1987 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |  |  |  |
| Arctic cisco | 69.5\% | 95.9\% | 71.8\% | 62.1\% | 74.6\% | 75.1\% |
| Least cisco | 14.8 | 3.8 | 18.7 | 37.0 | 24.4 | 21.5 |
| Broad whitefish | 15.1 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 0.007 | 0 |
| Humpback whitefish | 0.5 | 0.03 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| Rainbow smelt | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Round whitefish | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dolly Varden char | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.007 | 0 |
| Saffron cod | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Burbot | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fourhorn sculpin | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Total Examined Catch | 2,705 | 8,952 | 6,826 | 5,510 | 15,328 | 6,190 |

* Fourhorn sculpin always present but not counted
and Haldorson (1981). The length frequency of 50 Bering cisco caught in 76 rmm mesh gill nets reflected the observation that Bering cisco are stouter or thicker for a given length than Arctic cisco, an observation noted by J. Helmericks (pers. comm.) and documented in Craig and Haldorson (1981).

Comparative Catch Rates
The mean catch rates of both Arctic cisco and least cisco are higher in the Outer Colville Delta than in the Upper Nigliq area (Figure 3). In this example, the mean catch rates for each 10day period from the commercial fishery in the East Channel of the Outer Delta are used as an index because they are the most complete record from the Outer Colville Delta over the three survey years. Within the Nigliq Channel, total mean catch rates of Arctic cisco were highest near the Nigliq Delta and declined near the village (Upper Nigliq) (Table 4). Least cisco total mean catch rates showed the opposite trend, being highest near the village and decreasing downstream. Catch rates of Arctic cisco at the Nigliq Delta were similar to those observed in the Outer Colville Delta, but least cisco catch rates in all areas of the Nigliq Channel were well below those recorded in the Outer Delta (Table 4). As will be seen, these differential catch rates between species and areas were largely a function of salinity.

The highest total mean catch rates for Arctic cisco during the three year survey period were recorded in 1986 in the Outer Delta and the Nigliq Delta (Table 4), although the catch rates reported by George and Kovalsky (1986) for village fishermen on the Main Channel in 1985 were of similar magnitude. Arctic cisco catch rates were highest during the first two ten-day periods of 1986 in the Outer Colville Delta, and remained high for the duration of the season (Figure 3). In 1987, catch rates approached those recorded in 1986 only during the third ten-day period. Catch rates in 1985 and 1987 were similar in the Upper Nigliq and Outer Delta (East Channel). These catch rate patterns were interpreted as indicating that Arctic cisco abundance was highest in 1986 compared to the other two years.

For least cisco, when mean catch rates in the Outer Delta were high, those in the Upper Nigliq were low, and vice versa. This pattern likely indicated annual shifts in distribution of this species within the delta rather than changes in absolute abundance.

While most nets were checked on a daily or every other day basis, nets sometimes remained unchecked for several days. Catch rates declined as the length of time between net checks increased (Figure 4).

## Arctic Cisco Catch Rates
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Figure 3. Comparative catch rates of Arctic cisco and least cisco in the Outer Colville Delta and Nigliq Channel by time interval, 1985-1987.

Table 4. Total mean catch rate (fish per day) of Arctic cisco and least cisco in $76-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh gill nets in the Colville Delta fall fishery, 1985-1987.

|  | Arctic Cisco |  |  | Least Cisco |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |

Nigliq Channel (all village effort)

| Upper Nigliq | 12.1 | 17.1 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 5.5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nanuk | -- | 27.9 | 43.0 | -- | 0.9 | 2.8 |
| Nigliq Delta | -- | 78.5 | 39.3 | -- | 0.03 | 1.1 |

Outer Colville Delta
Main Channel

| Village | 76.1 | 62.0 | 47.6 | 47.4 | 18.3 | 15.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial | 31.5 | 74.5 | -- | 23.8 | 30.0 | -- |

East Channel
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Commercial } & 33.5 & 81.5 & 31.6 & 21.7 & 27.2 & 17.7\end{array}$


Figure 4. Catch rates of Arctic cisco and least cisco based on the number of days between net checks (i.e. soak time) in the Colville Delta commercial fishery.

The total catch of Arctic cisco in the Colville region has declined during the survey period (Table 5). While the catch decreased approximately 10 percent from 1985 to 1986, it was accompanied by a 38 percent reduction in total effort. The 23 percent reduction in catch from 1986 to 1987 was accompanied by a 61 percent increase in total effort, reflecting the overall reduced catch rate. Conversely, the least cisco total catches have followed the direction of the effort, although not the same magnitude of change, decreasing by 53 percent from 1985 to 1986 and increasing 12 percent from 1986 to 1987 (Table 5).

The majority of the village catch of Arctic cisco comes from the Nigliq Channel, which annually provided 57, 56 and 78 percent of the village harvest from 1985 to 1987 . As reflected by the relative catch rates and estimated effort, this high contribution results from intensive fishing effort near the village.

Age Composition
The age composition of Arctic cisco in the fishery was dominated by ages 6 and 7 in 1986 and ages 7 and 8 in 1987, reflecting the strength of the 1979 and 1980 year classes in the fishery (Figure 5). These two year classes have dominated the age composition of Arctic cisco captured in summer coastal studies in the region for several years (Moulton et al. 1986). In three previous years for which age data from the fishery are available (1976-1978), age-5 or 6 has dominated, although other ages often comprised major portions of the catch (Figure 5). The 1976-1978 data also show changes in age structure likely resulting from strong and weak year classes moving through the fishery. Since Arctic cisco mature at age-8 or older (Craig and Haldorson 1981), the fishery harvests immature fish.

The contribution of each year class to the fishery was examined by partitioning the catch into year classes based on the age distribution of the sampled catch (Table 6). Ages obtained from surface-read otoliths by Craig and Haldorson (1981) and catch rate data from Gallaway et al. (1983) were used to partition the 1976 to 1978 Arctic cisco catches from the commercial fishery into year class contributions. The analysis indicated that the contribution to the high catch rates in 1986 was primarily from the 1979 and 1980 year classes, and these year classes continued to dominate the fishery in 1987, although at reduced catch rates. The high catch rates shown by the 1979 and 1980 year classes were unprecedented in the 20 years of data on the commercial fishery. The catch rates for the 1979 and 1980 year classes in 1986 (99 and 80 fish per day,

Table 5. Total estimated catch of Arctic cisco and least cisco in the Colville Delta fall fishery, 1985-1987.

| Area | Arctic Cisco |  |  | Least Cisco |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nigliq Channel (all village catch) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Nigliq | 17,878 | 8,238 | 10,331 | 1,871 | 1,329 | 4,483 |
| Nanuk | -- | 4,636 | 3,310 | -- | 440 | 124 |
| Nigliq Delta | 8,500 | 5,924 | 2,635 | 0 | 38 | 74 |
| Outer Colville Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Village | 12,397 | 14,724* | 4,571* | 8,698 | 4,998* | 1,433* |
| Commercial | 10,321* | 1,839* | 0 | 8,657* | 578* | 0 |
| East Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Village | 7,906 | 0 | 0 | 5,245 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial | 13,357* | 27,617* | 27,494* | 8,939* | 8, 422* | 11,939* |
| Total | 70,359 | 62,978 | 48,341 | 33,410 | 15,805 | 18,053 |

* Entire catch counted
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Figure 5. Age composition of Arctic cisco captured in 76 mm mesh nets, Colville Delta in 1976-1978 and 1986-1987 and least cisco captured in 1987.

Table 6. Contribution of different year-classes to the commercial fishery by age.

respectively) both exceeded the previous record high total catch rate ( 76 fish per day in 1981, Gallaway et al. 1983).

In 1987, least cisco captured in 76 mm mesh were dominated by ages 9 to 12 ( 67 percent, $N=199$ ) with ages 8 (13 percent) and 13 to 15 (14 percent) also common (Figure 5). Full recruitment occurred at age 9, at a mean fork length of 308 mm . No single age group was dominant after full recruitment. Since anadromous least cisco mature at age 7 or 8 (Craig and Haldorson 1981) and fishing occurs after the spawning season, the fishery harvests least cisco that have spawned at least once.

The changes in age composition of Arctic cisco within the Colville River delta were reflected in the size of fish captured in the fisher. In 1985, when the 1978 year class supported the fishery, the catches in $76-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh were dominated by fish in the 330 to 340 mm length range, while catches in 83 and $89-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh were dominated by fish greater than 350 mm (Figure 6). In 1986, when the 1979 and 1980 year classes first recruited into the fishery, smaller fish dominated the catches ( 310 to 330 mm in $76-\mathrm{m}$ mesh, under 350 mm in 83 and $89-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh). Increased size of these two year classes and lack of new recruits led to an increase in size in 1987. Least cisco, which have a more uniform age distribution, did not show such a size fluctuation (Figure 7) .

Relationship of Catch Rates with Salinity
In 1987, Arctic cisco in the Outer Colville Delta were found to associate with salinities of 24 to 26 ppt and avoid salinities greater than 27 ppt (Figure 8). At the downstream stations (Station 0, 1.1 and 3.7), catch rates were initially high when salinity was between 24 and 26 ppt. On October 27, salinity increased to 27 ppt at Station 1.1 and catch rates at Stations 0 and 1.1 decreased from around 100 fish per net-day to near zero. Concurrently, salinity at Station 8.0 increased from 23 ppt to 24 ppt and catch rates increased four-fold as fish presumably moved upstream to avoid the higher salinities moving up the delta. Subsequently, salinity at Station 3.7 declined from near 25 ppt to 24 ppt and catch rates gradually increased as Arctic cisco dispersed back downstream.

Tag Returns
During the three-year survey, over 2,870 tags were returned from fish tagged in various studies in the Beaufort Sea coastal region since 1976; over 65 percent of these tags were returned by the commercial fishery. The rate of tag





Figure 6. Length frequencies of Arctic cisco caught in three different mesh sizes in the Colville River fall fishery, 19851987.


Figure 7. Length frequencies of least cisco caught in 76-mm mesh gill nets in the Colville River fall fishery, 1985 to 1987.

## Salinity



## Arctic Cisco Catch Rate



Figure 8. Response of Arctic cisco to salinity in the Outer Colville Delta, October 23-30, 1987.
recapture for Arctic cisco and least cisco from the various release years was calculated to evaluate the persistence of tags in the population (Figure 9). For least cisco, the tags decreased at a mean rate of 28 percent per year (SD = 20.7), while Arctic cisco tags decreased by nearly 70 percent per year ( $S D=15.0$ ) for the first three years, then were absent from the population. The rate of decline for tagged least cisco is considered to be an indication of total mortality, plus tag shedding (a more detailed analysis of mortality rates is presented later). The rapid loss of tagged Arctic cisco supports the Mackenzie-origin hypothesis of Arctic cisco inhabiting the Colville River. Arctic cisco utilizing the Colville region are thought to leave the area when they reach maturity at age 8 and return to the Mackenzie River to spawn, thus they are vulnerable to the fishery for only two to three years (from ages 5 or 6 to age 8).

In all three years, Arctic cisco tagged in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge were recaptured during the fall fishery. One 376 mm Arctic cisco was released in Beaufort Lagoon on July 10, 1985 and recaptured that October in the Outer Colville Delta. Three additional fish (317, 320, and 331 mm ) were released on August 30r31, 1986 at Oruktalik Lagoon, with one recaptured in October 1986 and the other two in October 1987, all in the Outer Delta. In 1987, a 308 mm least cisco tagged on August 18, 1987 in Camden Bay was recaptured in October in the East Channel. The recoveries of Arctic cisco released in the eastern Beaufort Sea may represent either the extent of eastward movement by Colville area fish during the summer feeding period and/or movement of adult fish from the Mackenzie region to the Colville region.

Population Estimates and Trends
There was an estimated 16.5 percent increase in the number of catchable Arctic cisco between 1984 and 1985 (Table 7). Concurrently, the estimated number of Arctic cisco greater than 250 mm only increased by 9.9 percent because in 1984 there was a much larger pool than in 1985 of uncatchable (but greater than 250 mm ) Arctic cisco, which by 1985 had grown large enough to be captured by the mesh sizes used in the fishery (Figure 10).

The two size modes apparent in the 1984 and 1985 released fish length frequency (Figure 10) correspond to the 1978 year class (320-340 mm in 1985) and 1979/1980 year classes (a single mode at $270-310 \mathrm{~mm}$ in 1985), as described from otolith analysis (Moulton et al. 1986). In 1986, the
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Figure 9. Decline in Arctic cisco and least cisco tag returns by year of release, 1980-1985.

Table 7. Estimates of the number of least cisco and Arctic cisco available to 76 mm mesh gill nets and number greater than 250 mm in the Colville region, 1984-1985.

|  | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number$95 \%$ <br> Confidence <br> Interval |  |
| LEAST CISCO |  |  |
| Number of Tags Released (fish >250 mm) | $14,126^{\text {a }}$ | $9,915^{\text {b }}$ |
| Number of Catchable Tags (M) | $\begin{gathered} (14,126)(1-.302)^{c} \\ =9860 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (9915)(1-.173)^{c} \\ & =8200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of Tags Caught (R) | 304 | 472 |
| Catch Sampled (C) | 13,076 | 19,186 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimate of } \\ & \text { Catchable Fish (N) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 423,000 \quad & 378,000- \\ 472,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 333,000 & 304,000- \\ 364,000 \end{array}$ |
| Estimate of Fish Greater than 250 mm | $\begin{array}{ll} 551,000 & \begin{array}{l} 492,000- \\ 615,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 391,000 & 357,000- \\ & 427,000 \end{array}$ |
| ARCTIC CISCO |  |  |
| Number of Tags Released <br> (fish >250 mm) | $5,840^{\text {a }}$ | 11,695 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Number of Catchable Tags (M) | $\begin{gathered} (5840)(1-.462)^{c} \\ =3142 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (11,695)(1-.379)^{c} \\ =7263 \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Tags Caught (R) | 88 | 170 |
| Catch Sampled (C) | 27,686 | 26,819 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimate of } \\ & \text { Catchable Fish (N) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 798,000- \\ 1,209,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,139,000 \quad 1,39,000- \\ & \\ & 125,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Estimate of Fish Greater than 250 mm | $\begin{array}{ll} 1,430,000 & 1,167,000- \\ & 1,768,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1,571,000 & 1,350,000- \\ & 1,827,000 \end{array}$ |

${ }^{a}$ From Moulton et al. (1986)
${ }^{\text {b }}$ From Envirosphere (1987)
${ }^{c}$ Gear Selectivity correction factor calculated as in Ricker (1975)
${ }^{d}$ From $N=\frac{(M+1)(C+1)}{(R+1)} \quad$ where $M=$ number of fish tagged
C = catch sampled
$R=$ number of recaptured tags
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Figure 10. Length frequencies of Artic cisco released from fyke nets near Prudhoe Bay compared to those caught by gill net in the Colville Delta commercial fishery, 1984-1987.

1979/1980 year classes were almost fully recruited into the fishery and by 1987 the catches were dominated by the 1980 year class.

The catch rates in the commercial fishery, which have been used as Arctic cisco abundance indices for the Colville region (Gallaway et al. 1983), indicate the relative strength of these year classes (Figure 9). While the population estimates increased, the Arctic cisco catch rate decreased almost 13 percent between 1984 and 1985, although the catch rates were high compared to the historical average. In both years the fishery was dominated by the 1978 year class with larger members of the 1979 year class available in 1985. In 1986, when the 1979 and 1980 year classes entered the fishery, the catch rates were the highest in the 21 -year record. Although there was a 58 percent decrease from 1986 to 1987 as the 1979 year class and larger members of the 1980 year class moved out of the fishery, the 1987 catch rate was the second highest on record.

The least cisco catch rates in the commercial fishery have fluctuated less dramatically than the Arctic cisco catch rates (coefficient of variation for least cisco $=0.53$, for Arctic cisco $=0.76$ ) because the population has a greater range of ages in the harvestable stock and the catches are less influenced by individual year classes (Figure 11). There were high catches in 1969-1970 and 1982-1983 that may indicate unusually abundant year classes, but the basic pattern has been a gradual, but significant (r = 0.49, sig. at $a=0.05)$, increase in catch rate over the last 21 years.

The tagging conducted in the region between 1976 and 1985 has provided data from which population estimates for least cisco can be generated for every year except 1980 and 1983 (tagging was not conducted in those years). The information from tags released from 1976 to 1979 were used by Craig and Haldorson (1981) to generate population estimates, and the estimates for 1984 and 1985 are provided above. Applying the mean gear selectivity correction factor to the tag release/recapture data for 1981 and 1982 allows similar estimates to be generated for those years as well. The data indicate that for the ten years between 1976 and 1985, the least cisco population fluctuated as follows:

## COLVILLE COMMERCIAL FISHERY



Figure 11. Catch rates of Arctic cisco and least cisco in the Colville Delta commercial fishery, 1967-1987.

|  | Population | 95 Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Estimate | Confidence Interval |
| 1976 | 305,000 | 271,000-343,000 |
| 1977 | 355,000 | 145,000-888,000 |
| 1978 | 434,000 | 311,000-629,000 |
| 1979 | 1,773,000 | 1,010,000-3,626,000 |
| 1980 | - | - - |
| 1981 | 792,000 | 649,000-974,000 |
| 1982 | 329,000 | 291,000-374,000 |
| 1983 | - | - - |
| 1984 | 423,000 | 378,000-472,000 |
| 1985 | 333,000 | 304,000-364,000 |

The wide confidence limits in the 1977 to 1979 data result from a low number of tag returns, and the high estimate in 1979 may reflect a high incidence of non-reporting or missed tags in that year. The apparent high abundance in 1979 is not reflected in the catch rate for that year (Figure 11).

Effects of Fishing on Populations
The population and harvest estimates generated from the 1985 data were used to estimate fishing mortality on the Arctic and least cisco stocks. In 1985, an estimated 70,400 Arctic cisco were harvested from an estimated pool of 1,139,000 catchable fish, indicating a fishing mortality on Arctic cisco of about 6 percent. An estimated 33,400 least cisco were caught from an estimated pool of 333,000 catchable least cisco, resulting in fishing mortality of approximately 10 percent.

The instantaneous total mortality for least cisco, using the decrease of tags in the population as an indicator of mortality (Figure 9), was 0.40 ( $r^{2}=0.962$ ). This is equivalent to a total annual mortality of 33 percent. Given this level of $Z$ and an exploitation rate (u) of 0.10, the instantaneous fishing mortality (F) is 0.12, and natural mortality (M) is 0.28.

Another estimate of instantaneous total mortality (Z) can be obtained from the age distribution of least cisco (Figure 5). Assuming full recruitment into the fishery at age 9 in 1987, the decrease in age groups provides an estimated $Z=0.39$ ( $r^{2}=$ 0.872). Exploitation rate (u) was likely less than 0.10 in 1987 because of the reduced effort and catch level (Tables 1 and 4), however, if it is assumed that the exploitation rate for 1987 is near 0.10, then again $F=0.12$ and $M=0.27$.

The recapture rate for tagged Arctic cisco in the commercial fishery showed a sharp decrease after the year of release,
indicating that annual mortality may be high if the species remains in the Colville region for its entire life cycle. However, Arctic cisco utilizing the Colville Delta are thought to leave the area when they mature and return to the Mackenzie to spawn (Gallaway et al. 1983), thus the estimated annual mortality is not meaningful because of the high rate of emigration. The estimated $Z$ for Arctic cisco, based on decrease in tag return rate, is 1.25 ( $r^{2}=0.997$ ), which is equivalent to an annual mortality rate (or more accurately, loss rate) of 71.4 percent.

Historical Catch Levels
Catch data are available from the commercial fishery for the last 21 years, while the village of Nuiqsut was founded at its present site in the early 1970's. Prior to the founding of the village, many of the same fishermen used to travel to the Colville River delta in the fall to fish, but effort has increased as new families have entered the fishery. It is likely that the current levels of effort were reached beginning in the mid to late 1970's as the village stabilized and fishing patterns became established. Over the last ten years, the mean annual commercial catch has been 22,300 Arctic cisco $(S D=8,587)$ and 21,500 least cisco (SD=9,252). If it is assumed that the ratio of village to commercial catch observed from 1985 to 1987 represented an average condition, then the commercial harvest has averaged 46 percent of the Arctic cisco catch and 59 percent of the least cisco catch, and the mean annual catches for the village and commercial fisheries for the last ten years were 48,500 Arctic cisco and 36,400 least cisco. As evident from the 1985 to 1987 estimates and historical pattern of catch rates, there was substantial variation between years.

Variability in Effort and Catch
During the three years of survey, both village and commercial fishing effort fluctuated drastically. Village effort fluctuated primarily because of competing requirements for time, including employment, or lack of employment, and pursuit of other resources. The early fishing period coincides with whaling season and hunting and processing of whales in 1986 and 1987 likely reduced the early and mid October effort in those two years. The commercial effort responded to both catch rate and market conditions. The fisherman sets a desired catch level based on anticipated markets (within a maximum harvest quota) and adjusts effort based on the observed catch rate. The high catch rate in 1986 allowed reaching a desired harvest with minimal effort.

The commercial fisherman expressed the opinion that publicity about the fishery survey caused increased village fishing effort in the Outer Colville Delta in 1985, the initial year of the study. The total catch and effort in 1985 were the highest during the survey period and it is likely that awareness of the study contributed to the high levels. As village fishing effort in the Outer Colville Delta decreased from 1985 to 1987, the commercial fishery portion of the total catch increased from 34 percent in 1985 to 47 percent and 57 percent in the following two years.

The increase in Arctic cisco catch rate from 1985 to 1986, resulting in the highest catch rate seen in the commercial fishery in 21 years of record, was caused by the full recruitment of the 1979 and 1980 year-classes into the fishery. As shown, there was a pool of Arctic cisco that were inaccessible to 76 rmm mesh nets in 1985, but these grew to a harvestable size in 1986. This group of fish dominated the fish samples in Beaufort Sea coastal studies between 1982 and 1985 (Griffiths et al. 1983; Woodward-Clyde Consultants 1983; Moulton and Fawcett 1984; Moulton et al. 1986). The data also indicate, however, that few young fish entered the region from 1981 to 1984, thus few were available to recruit into the fishery. From 1985 to 1987, there were three consecutive years of moderate recruitment (Envirosphere 1987, D. Glass, Envirosphere, pers. comm.) that will allow the fishery to recover. The 1987 catch continued to be composed of 1980 and 1979 year-class fish that remained in the Colville region prior to maturation, these will likely be gone in 1988. The catch rate of Arctic cisco in the commercial fishery declined 58 percent between 1986 and 1987 and will continue to decline in 1988. Catch rates will remain low until about 1990 when the 1985 year class first recruits into the fishery.

Effect of Salinity on Winter Habitat Use
The salinity of the water in the fishing areas during the fall is another variable that influences the annual variability in catch rate because it influences the distribution of ciscoes within the delta. Arctic cisco are associated with higher salinities (21-26 ppt) while least cisco occur in greater numbers in lower salinity. If the river discharge was high prior to freeze-up, salinity remains relatively low until discharge decreases and saltwater moves upstream. In this case, least cisco catch rates are high until salinity increases, at which time Arctic cisco catch rates increase. Conversely, if discharge was low at freeze-up, saltwater moves upstream early in the fishing season and Arctic cisco catch rates increase early in the season. Under these conditions, least cisco move upstream to lower salinity water and least cisco catch rates may be low the entire season. Least cisco also appear to select areas where access to lower salinity water is maintained. Densities of least cisco are low in the Nigliq Channel, where access to low salinity water is lost soon after freeze-up because the upstream end of the channel freezes shut by mid to late October. Least cisco are most abundant in the main channels of the Colville Delta, which remain connected through the winter and provide access to a full range of salinities (fresh to seawater). In the Colville River, Arctic cisco have not been found in lower salinity water, and appear to be restricted to the outer delta channels.

Impact of Fishery on Stocks

The estimated harvest of Arctic cisco in 1985, 70,400 fish, represented approximately 6 percent of the harvestable Arctic cisco, assuming that all of the population estimated from the released tagged Arctic cisco in the Prudhoe Bay area moved to the Colville region in late summer and were vulnerable to the fall fishery. If substantial numbers of Arctic cisco moved elsewhere, such as moving eastward to the Mackenzie River, then the proportion of the population in the Colville would decrease and the harvest rate would increase. The response of the population and resulting exploitation rate to different levels of return to the Colville is as follows:
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While data from the concurrent Endicott Study indicated that some Arctic cisco tagged in the Prudhoe Bay region moved eastward to Kaktovik during the year of tagging (two tagged Arctic cisco were recaptured in limited sampling at Kaktovik in 1985), the data were insufficient to determine if significant eastward movement occurred (Envirosphere 1987). Few large Arctic cisco use of the Sagavanirktok River delta near Prudhoe Bay for over wintering (Schmidt et al. 1987).

Evidence of substantial eastward movement by Arctic cisco, though not necessarily in the year of tagging, is beginning to emerge as sampling effort increases in the eastern Beaufort Sea. In 1986, eight Arctic cisco tagged in the Prudhoe Bay region between 1981 and 1985 were recovered at the mouth of the Babbage River in Canada after being at large 1 to 5 years with an additional recapture at Shingle Point immediately west of the Mackenzie River mouth. In 1987, three additional tagged Arctic cisco were recaptured in the Mackenzie Delta after 2 to 6 years at large (W. Bond, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, Manitoba, pers. comm.). It is also apparent, however, that there is westward movement of large Arctic cisco from the Mackenzie region into the Colville region during the summer, as evidenced by the recapture of Arctic cisco tagged east of Kaktovik.

As can be seen, there are many uncertainties regarding the summer movements of Arctic cisco and the effects of these movements on the number of Arctic cisco that enter the Colville delta in the fall. It would be necessary to conduct a tagging study in the delta just prior to the fishery to accurately estimate the stock vulnerable to the fishery.

For least cisco, the pattern is more clear. Virtually all least cisco tagged near Prudhoe Bay entered the Colville Delta in late summer and were vulnerable to the fishery (Moulton et al. 1986). There are resident populations of least cisco in lakes and streams connected to the Colville system, but these appear to occupy different habitats than the anadromous least cisco that winter in the delta, and do not contribute significantly to the harvest. The estimated harvest of 33,400 anadromous least cisco in 1985 represented approximately 10 percent of the harvestable fish. The catch rates of least cisco in the 1986 commercial fishery increased slightly over those in 1985, indicating that the harvestable population was of similar size in both years. The total catch, however, decreased over 50 percent because of the reduced effort, thus the 1986 exploitation rate may have been around 5 percent. Using the same reasoning for 1987 (commercial fishery catch rates approximately 18
percent lower than in 1985 while total catch declined 46 percent), the exploitation rate was likely between 5 and 10 percent.

The levels of mortality observed to date for least cisco, 5 to 10 percent exploitation rate and 24 percent mean annual natural mortality (equivalent to $M=0.27$ ), are on the low end of the ranges reported for other coregonids (Healey 1975). For example, in lake whitefish populations (Coregonus clupeaformis) in the Northwest Territories, Healey (1975) reports only 2 out of 17 estimates of natural mortality to be less than 30 percent, compared to the 24 percent estimated for Colville River anadromous least cisco. For total mortality, only 2 out of 24 estimates were 40 percent or less, compared to 32 percent in the Colville River least cisco. For fourteen exploited lakes, the total annual mortality averaged 66 percent (range: 36 to 94 percent). Healey (1980) reports that an exploitation rate of 10 percent caused virtually no change in lake whitefish populations while a rate of 20 percent caused a moderate amount of change. Substantial changes in population structure occurred at a 30 percent exploitation rate.

Another way to evaluate the current harvest rates is to compare the observed harvest to the estimated maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Beddington and Cooke (1983) described a method to estimate MSY given natural mortality and the parameter $K$ from the von Bertalanffy growth curve. For least cisco, the best estimate for instantaneous natural mortality is 0.27. The parameter $K$ is estimated to be 0.11 based on age-length data from the Colville region (Moulton et al. in prep.). Using tables provided in Beddington and Cooke (1983) and assuming age-8 as the initial age at recruitment (although full recruitment occurs at age 9), it is estimated that the MSY for anadromous least cisco in the Colville Delta is 14 percent of the unexploited recruited stock. The least cisco population has been harvested for generations, thus an estimate of the unexploited stock is not available. Population estimates over the last ten years (excluding the unusually high estimate in 1979)indicate that the harvestable stock has averaged 424,000 fish (SD=169,000). It is likely that the unexploited stock was greater than this level, but if we conservatively assume that the 10 yr average is nearly the unexploited stock, then the MSY would be 59,360 least cisco. The combined village and commercial harvests in 1986 and 1987 are only 27 and 30 percent of this level and the high catch in 1985 is 56 percent of the estimated MSY. Beddington and Cooke (1983) cautioned that estimates of MSY derived in this manner are only approximations and that given a full range of uncertainty, the yield will be estimated only to within a factor of two. Assuming that the above estimated MSY suffers from full over-estimation and is actually only half
of the estimated value, the 1986 and 1987 harvests are still within an acceptable range, while the 1985 harvest would have slightly exceeded the MSY. The commercial fishery catch rates, however, have remained stable or increased, indicating that the true MSY has not been exceeded by the estimated combined village and commercial annual harvest of 36,400 least cisco over the last ten years.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS
The three years of investigation on the Colville River cisco fishery reveals that the present harvest levels are presently within an acceptable range. The stocks do not exhibit characteristics often seen in over-fished populations and the catch rates of both Arctic cisco and least cisco are high compared to the previous twenty years of record. As discussed, the recent high catch rates for Arctic cisco were a result of a high recuitment of young in 1980. Since this group of fish has grown out of the fishery and recruitment was low from 1981 to 1984, catches are predicted to decline in 1988 and remain low until the 1985 year-class reaches a harvestable size. Catches will likely increase in 1990 and remain stable for several years because of the three consecutive years (1985 to 1987) of juvenile recruitment.

Because the present harvest levels appear to be within an acceptable range, i.e. are not adversely affecting stock levels, it is recommended that no changes be made in the management of the fishery at this time. Monitoring of the fishery should focus on estimating effort, catch rates and age structure so that the effects of increased fishing effort or harvest level will not go undetected. Monitoring of juvenile abundance and age or size structure would also allow predicting the future direction of catch rates based on abundant or weak year-classes.

Many people made significant contributions to the study during the three years of investigation. The study was formulated through discussions with ARCO Alaska, Inc., the North Slope Borough and the City of Nuiqsut. ARCO Alaska funded the study, which was administered by Dr. Robert Newell and Mr. Scott Robertson. Review and participation by the North Slope Borough was through Mr. Craig George. Participation by the City of Nuiqsut was coordinated by the Mayor's Office and a Fish Study Advisory Committee; city participants included Ms. Maggie Kovalsky, Mr. Sam Talaak, Mr. Nelson Ahvakana, and Mr. Abe Simmonds. Administrative support for the city was efficiently handled by Ms. Joy Oagak.

Field and data entry support was provided by Nuiqsut residents. Significant contributions were provided by Mr. Abraham Woods, Mr. Clarence Ahnupkana, Ms. Emma Ahvakana, Mr. Walter Oyagak, and Mr. Joeb Woods, Jr. Mr. Jim Helmericks, Colville Delta resident and commercial fisherman, supplied logistical support, tag returns, catch 'data, and a variety of other information related to the fish and fishery in the delta.

The report was subjected to substantial review prior to issuance. Outside technical review was provided by Dr. Don Gunderson (Fisheries Research Institute, University of Washington) and Dr. Jim Reynolds (Cooperative Fishery Research Unit, University of Alaska, Fairbanks). Internal review was performed by ARCO Alaska (Mr. Scott Robertson, Dr. Robert Griffeth and Mr. Chris Herlugson, ARCO Environmental) and the North Slope Borough (Dr. Mike Philo and Mr. Craig George, Dept. of Wildlife Management).
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DATA APPENDIX

Observed nean catch rates (number of fish caught per net-day) of Arctic and least cisco by area, mesh size (ma) and 10-day interval in the 1985 fall fishery in the Kigiq channel.


Least cisco

| 56 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 63 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 4 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 76 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 19 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 17 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 2 |  |  |
| 83 |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 0 |
| 89 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 12 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Observed mean catch rates (number of fish caught per net-day) of Arctic and least cisco by area, mesh size (aza) and 10-day interval in the 1986 fall fishery in the Nigliq channel.

| Area | Oct 1-10 |  | 0ct 11-20 |  |  | Oct 21-30 |  |  | Oct 31-Nov 9 |  |  | Nov 10-19 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh Size (Min) CPUE1 | 502 | N | CPS | SD | N | CPAE | SD | N | CPUE | 50 | N | CPUE | 50 | N |
| IPPER NIGLIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arctic cisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $76 \quad 7.4$ | 7.2 | 3 | 18.3 | 12.0 | 40 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 14 | 18.5 | 11.5 | 13 | 12.8 | 2.7 | 4 |
| 83 |  |  | 9.4 | 7.0 | 11 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 4 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 5 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 3 |
| 89 |  |  | 7.4 | 4.4 | 9 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 6 | 11.2 | 13.9 | 7 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 3 |
| Least cisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $76 \quad 1.7$ | 2.0 | 3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 40 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 14 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 13 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4 |
| 83 |  |  | 0.9 | 1.1 | 11 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 89 |  |  | 1.6 | 2.2 | 9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3 |

NANKK

Aretic cisco

| 76 | 28.7 | 0 | 1 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 40.0 | 31.4 | 5 | 21.4 | 10.7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Least cisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 3.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 4 |

NIGLIQ DETA

| Arctic cisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 76 | 57.8 | 18.4 | 8 | 54.5 | 28.7 | 16 | 147.0 | 112.3 | 8 |
| 83 | 36.8 | 11.6 | 6 | 62.3 | 41.8 | 8 | 67.1 | 33.5 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Least cisco | 0.1 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 6 |
| 76 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 8 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 4 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]| Mesh Size $-(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 11- \\ & \text { Oct } \mathrm{EO} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 31- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 31- \\ \operatorname{Nov} 3 \end{array}$ | Nav $10-$ Neiv 19 | Mesh <br> Tretal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unper Niglig + Narult |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EO | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 39 |
| 64 | 7.3 | 55.3 | 50.8 | 44.3 | EG. 7 | 184.4 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 30 | 86. 7 | 115.5 | 58.7 | 55 | 345.9 |
| 83 | 6.7 | 1E. 7 | 16. 7 | 16. 7 | 16. 7 | 73.5 |
| 89 | 20 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 170 |
| 102 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E. 7 |
| umkriown | 4 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 5 | 50 |
| Total Effort | 78.7 | Eこ7 | 260.7 | 178 | 125. 1 | 869. 5 |

Observed mean catch rates (rumber of fish caught per net-day) of Arctic and least cisco by area, mesh size (man) and 10-day interval in the 1987 fall fishery in the Nigliq channel.


NAKLK
Arctic cisco
76
$\begin{array}{rrr}43.0 & 28.6 & 14 \\ 61.3 & 30.1 & 2\end{array}$

Least cisco
$\begin{array}{lllll}76 & 2.8 & 3.8 & 14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}1.8 & 2.5\end{array}$

NIGLID delta

| Aretic cisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | 52.4 | 74.0 | 6 | 26.3 | 15.2 | 1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1 |
| 83 |  |  |  | 31.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 46.4 | 19.1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Least cisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 76 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 6 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1 |
| 83 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 89 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]|  | Mear, Catch Rate by Time Perical |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh Size (min) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } 1- \\ & \text { Oct } 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct E1- } \\ & \text { Oct } 30 \end{aligned}$ | Det 31NOV | Nav 10Nav 13 |
| 60 | - | - | 11.7 | - | 8 |
| 64 | 5 | 19.3 | 3 E | 3 3. 7 | 4日.E |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | 5.4 | 5.8 | $E O .6$ | 16.6 | 18 |
| 83 | 0 | 10.8 | E8 | 26 | 43.7 |
| 87 | 0 | 10.5 | 35.3 | 36 | 37.7 |
| 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated tgtal catch of Arctic cisca by mesh size
in the Nigliq Chanmel－ 1985 （Upper Nigliq＋Namuk）

| Mesh Sise （rand）． | Estimated Catch by Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Dct } 1- \\ \text { Det } 10 \end{array}$ | Dct 11－ <br> Oct 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \Xi 1- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Det } 31- \\ \text { Nav } 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Nav 10－ Nov 19 | Mesh <br> Total |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 117 | O | 40 | 157 |
| 64 | 37 | 1067 | $16 \pm 6$ | 1449 | 1こ87 | 5465 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 165 | 503 | ここ79 | 974 | 970 | 5009 |
| 83 | 0 | 180 | 4E8 | 434 | 730 | 181E |
| 89 | 0 | 507 | 18こら | 1197 | E30 | 4161 |
| 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Meari Catch |  | Rate by | Time Peri |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mest Size (mm) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct 11- } \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \because 1- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Det 31Nov 9 | Nov $10-$ Nav 19 |
| 60 | - | - - | . 5 | - | 0 |
| 64 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $7 \epsilon$ | 6. 1 | 5. 3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0 |
| 83 | 0 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 1. ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| 89 | . 4 | 1. 1 | . 2 | 0 | . 6 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Estimated |  | atch by | Time Period |  | Mesh <br> Tatal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh Size (mm) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Det } 21- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Det 31Nov 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } 10- \\ & \text { Nav } 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | - 0 | - 5 |
| 64 | 34 | 205 | 152 | EG | 35 | 4ヲこ |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | O |
| 76 | 183 | 4E0 | 208 | 76 | 0 | 927 |
| 83 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 27 |
| 89 | 8 | 53 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 81 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| urikriown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Area | Gtal | 1532 |


|  | Mean Catch |  | Rate by Tirme Periad |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh Size - (rmm) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } & 1- \\ \text { Det } & 10 \end{array}$ | Dct 11Oct EO | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 21- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Oct 31Nov 9 | Nav 10Nav 19 |
| 60 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| E4 | 2. 8 | 5.3 | 0 | 0 | $=6$ |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | 10 | 4.7 | . 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 83 | - | . 4 | - | - | 1.2 |
| 89 | 1.2 | . 3 | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 0 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated total catch of broad whitefish by mesh size ir the Nigliq Charmel - 1985 (Upper Nigliq + Nariuk)

Estimated Catch by Time Periad

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh Size } \\ (\mathrm{rmm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 1- \\ & \text { Oct } 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct 11- } \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \because 1- \\ \text { Oet } 30 \end{gathered}$ | Oct 31Nav 9 | Nov 10Nav 19 | Mesh <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | EO | 293 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 330 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 300 | 407 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 742 |
| 83 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 27 |
| 89 | 24 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated Fishing Effart by Mesh Size in Nigliq Chammel－ 1986

| Mesh Size （ mm ） | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Qct } & 1- \\ \text { Bet } & 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 21- \\ & \text { Oct } 30 \end{aligned}$ | Det 31－ Nov 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } 10- \\ & \text { Nov } 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mesh } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | B | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 45 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 54.7 | 115．5 | 116.5 | 84．8 | ふЗ． | 404．7 |
| B3 | B | 20 | EO | 11 | 4 | 63 |
| 89 | 7 | 10.7 | 25． 7 | 24．7 | 11．7 | 79．8 |
| 10 e | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area Total | 77.7 | 156．2 | 172． 2 | 130.5 | 55.9 | 59.5 |
| Nanuk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | $\bigcirc$ | 5.3 | 13.3 | 5.3 | 0 | こЗ． 9 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 0 | 38 | 61.7 | 48．3 | 13 | 160 |
| 83 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 27 |
| 89 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 | 0 | 5.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 |
| Area Total | 0 | 57.6 | 85 | 61．6 | 12 | ㄹ16．${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nigliq Delta 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| 64 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | ． 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 0 | 5.7 | 36． 7 | 25 | 6 | 73．4 |
| 83 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 3 | こ4 |
| 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area Total | 0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 35 | 9 | 97.4 |

Estimated catch rate of Arctic cisco by mesh size in the Nigliq Charmel - 1986.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh Size } \\ (\text { mata }) \end{gathered}$ | Meari Catch |  | Rate by Time Periad |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } & 1- \\ \text { Det } & 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Det E1- } \\ \text { Det } 30 \end{array}$ | Dct 31Nav 9 | Nov 10Nov 19 |
| Upper Niglig |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| E4 | 6. 6 | 16. 4 | 14.3 | 16. 6 | 11.5 |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | 7.4 | 18. 3 | 15.9 | 18.5 | 1こ. 8 |
| 83 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 5. 6 |
| 87 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 11.7 |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |
| unkriciwn | - | - | - | - | - |

Nariste

| 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | - | - | 25.8 | -4 | 35.9 | 19.6 |
| 70 | - | $2 日 .7$ | 10.5 | - | 40 | 21.4 |
| 76 | - | 10.8 | 3.3 | 15 | - |  |
| 83 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 89 | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Niglig Delta

| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | - | 57.8 | 57.8 | 54.5 | 147 |
| 83 | - | 36.8 | 36.8 | 62.3 | 67.1 |
| 89 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |

Estimated total catch of Arctic cisca by mest size in the Nigliq Chamel－ 1986.

| $\qquad$ | Estimated Catch by Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dct Det | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct E1- } \\ \text { Oct } 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Oct 31ー Nav 9 | Nov 10－ Nav 19 | Mesh <br> Tota？ |
| Upper Nigliq |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | ． | 53 | $1 \in 4$ | 143 | 166 | 81 | 606 |
| 70 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{-}$ | 0 |
| 76 |  | 405 | 2114 | 185E | 1569 | 425 | 6365 |
| 83 |  | 75 | 188 | 116 | 42 | ES | 443 |
| 89 |  | 52 | 79 | E78 | 277 | 137 | 8ここ |
| 102 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| unknowr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Namute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 |  | 0 | 137 | 125 | 190 | 0 | 45こ |
| 70 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| 76 |  | 0 | 1091 | －64日 | 1932 | 257 | 39 37 |
| 83 |  | 0 | 97 | 37 | $1 E 0$ | 0 | こち6 |
| 89 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 4635 |
| Niqliq Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 |  | 0 | 3 39 | 2121 | 1363 | 882 | 4695 |
| 83 |  | 0 | 37 | 368 | 623 | 201 | 1329 |
| 89 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 5924 |


|  | Mearı Catch |  | Rate by | Time Pericad |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh Size (mm) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Bct } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 21- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Det 31Nov 9 | Nav 10Nov 19 |
| Upper Nigliq. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | 20.9 | 35.2 | 12.3 | 2.5 | 6. 1 |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | 1.7 | こ. 7 | 1 | - 2 | . 5 |
| 83 | . 9 | . 9 | . 4 | 0 | Q |
| 89 | 1. 6 | 1.6 | . 3 | - 3 | -6 |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |
| unkeriown | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nanute |  |  |  |  |  |
| EO | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | - | 36. 9 | 0 | 1こ.3 | 7.4 |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | - | 3 | 0 | 1 | . 6 |
| 83 | - | . 9 | 0 | . 3 | - |
| 89 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Niglig Delta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | - | 0 | . 07 | . 7 | - - |
| 83 | - | 0 | O | 1.3 | . 5 |
| 89 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |

Estimated total catch of least cisco by mesh size ir the Nigliq Chamel - 1986.

Estimated Catch by Time Period



Estimated catch rate af braad whitefish by mesh size in the Nigliq Charimel - 1986.

| Mesh Size ( mm ) | Mear, Catah |  |  | Rate by Time Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Det <br> Det | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 31- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Det 31Nav 9 | Nov Nov | $\begin{array}{r} 10- \\ \times \quad 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Upper Nigliq |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 64 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 70 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 76 |  | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | . 25 |  | 0 |
| 83 |  | 0 | - 96 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 89 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 109 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| uriknawn |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Namuk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 64 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 70 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 76 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 日3 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 89 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 102 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Nigliq Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | - | - | - | - |  | -- |
| 64 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 70 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 76 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 83 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 89 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 102 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |

Estimated Fishirng Effart by Mesh Size in Nigliq Chammel－ 1987

| Mesh Size （mm） | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oet } & 1- \\ \text { Oct } & 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct छ } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 31- \\ \mathrm{NOV} 9 \end{array}$ | Nav 10－ Nav 17 | Mesh Tatal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Nigliq |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EO | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | こ． 7 | 10.7 | $\because 4$ | 18 | 3 | 58.4 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 13．3 | 0 | 30 |
| 76 | 10．ᄅ | 88.7 | 144．3 | 15E． 3 | 48.5 | 448 |
| 日3 | 1 | G5 | ЭЗ． 3 | BE． 7 | 28 | E74 |
| 89 | 1 | 18 | 41.7 | 33.3 | 30 | 1 こ4 |
| 102 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| untunciwn | 1.3 | 6． 7 | E． 7 | E． 7 | $E$ | こЗ． 4 |
| Area Total | 16． 2 | 19こ． 1 | ここ6． 7 | 314.3 | 111.5 | 960． 8 |
| Naprute． |  |  |  | － |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 24．3 | 30 | 3 | 57.3 |
| 70 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 65． 3 | 34 | 148.3 |
| 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 89 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 12 | 18 | 0 | 30 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area Total | 0 | 0 | 95． 3 | 113.3 | E7 | E35．6 |
| Nigliq Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 0 | O | 19 | 24．7 | 14 | 57.7 |
| 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| 89 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 15 | 1.7 | 0 | 1G． 7 |
| 102 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area Total | 0 | 0 | 34 | 33.4 | E3 | 90.4 |

Estimated total catch of broad whitefish by mesh size in the Nigliq Charnel - 1986.

| Mesh Size (ram) | Estimated Catch by Time Pericd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & \text { Oct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oct 11Det 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 21- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{gathered}$ | Oct $31-$ Nav 9 | Nov 10Nov 19 | Mesh <br> Total |
| Upper Niglig |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 64 |  | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 70 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 76 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 139 | $\bigcirc$ | 31 | 0 | 160 |
| 83 |  | 0 | 19 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 19 |
| 89 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 229 |
| Nanuk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 64 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 70 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 83 |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 89 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| 102 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area | Tatal | 0 |
| Niqliq Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 64 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 70 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 76 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 83 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 89 |  | - | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - |
| 102 |  | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 0 |

Estimated total catch of Arctic cisca by mesh size in the Nigliq Charnel - 1987.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh Size } \\ (\text { mmin }) \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Catch by Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1- } \\ & \text { Oct } 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct E1- } \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Det } 31- \\ \operatorname{NaY} 9 \end{array}$ | Nov $10-$ Nav 19 | Mesh <br> Total |
| Upper Niglig |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 80 | 186 | 315 | 0 | 581 |
| : 70 | 0 | 0 | 567 | 234 | 0 | 801 |
| 76 | 0 | 784 | 2684 | 265こ | 85 | 6205 |
| 8 8 | 0 | 517 | 773 | 940 | 0 | 2e31 |
| 89 | O | 88 | 254 | 111 | 61 | 514 |
| 102 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| , | , |  |  | Area | Total | 10333 |
| Namuk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 76 | - | - | 2538 | 0 | 37 | 2575 |
| 83 | O | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 89 | 0 | 0 | 735 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 735 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 3310 |
| Niglig Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 76 | 0 | 0 | 996 | 649 | 77 | 1722 |
| 83 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 217 | 0 | 217 |
| 89 | - | 0 | 696 | 0 | 0 | 696 |
| 102 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |
|  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 2635 |

```
Estimated catch rate Gf Arctic cisco by mesh size
iri the Nigliq Charmel - 1987.
```

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh Size } \\ \hline(\mathrm{mm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mear Catch |  | Rate by Time Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } 11- \\ & \text { Oct } \mathrm{eo} \end{aligned}$ | Oct e1Det 30 | Oct 31Nav 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } 10- \\ & \text { Nav } 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Niglig |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | 0 | 7.5 | 7.75 | 17.5 | 0 |
| 70 | - | - | 33.94 | 17.6 | - |
| 76 | 0 | 8. 84 | 18.6 | 16.97 | 1.75 |
| 83 | 0 | 7. 96 | 8. 29 | 10.84 | 0 |
| 89 | 0 | 4.91 | 6.08 | 3.34 | E. 04 |
| 102 | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | - |
| unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Nariule

| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | - | - | 43.02 | 0 | 1.55 |
| 83 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 89 | - | - | 61.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |


| NiqliqDelta |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | - | - | 52.41 | 26.28 | 5.51 |
| 76 | - | - | - | 31 | 0 |
| 83 | - | - | 46.41 | 0 | 0 |
| 89 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 102 |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Estimated catch rate of least cisco by mesh size
ir. the Nigliq Chammel - 1987.
```

Mean Catch Rate by Time Period

| Mesh Size (min) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Oct } 1- \\ \text { Oct } 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oet } 21- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Oct 31Nov. 9 | Nov 10Nov 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Nigliq |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | - | 27 | 28.5 | 6.5 | 0 |
| 70 | - | - | 17.14 | 5.2 | - |
| 76 | - | 10.58 | 4.78 | 4.64 | 2. 07 |
| 83 | - | 3.3 | 1.53 | 1.14 | 0 |
| 89 | - | E. 37 | . 48 | 1.87 | - 1 |
| 102 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| unkrown | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Nanuk

| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 64 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | - | - | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| 83 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 89 | - | - | 1.75 | 0 | - |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |

Nigliq Delta

| 60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 16 |
| 83 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| 89 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| 102 | - | - | - | - | - |

Estimated total catch Gf least cisco by mesh size in the Nigliq Chamel - 1987.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh Size } \\ (\text { ram }) \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Catch by Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct 1Oct 10 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct. } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 21- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 31- \\ \text { Nov } 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Nav $10-$ Nav 19 | Mesh Total |
| Upper Niglig |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 64 | $\bigcirc$ | 289 | 684 | 117 | 0 | 1090 |
| 70 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 286 | 69 | 0 | 1355 |
| 76 | $\bigcirc$ | 938 | 690 | 725 | 100 | 355 2454 |
| 83 | $\bigcirc$ | 215 | 143 | 99 | 0 | 456 |
| 89 | 0 | 43 | 20 | 62 | 3 | 128 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  |  |  |  | Area | Total | 4483 |
| Nanut |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 76 | 0 | - | 165 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 165 |
| 83 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 89 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 102 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Nigliq Delta Area Total 186 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 64 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 76 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 64 | e | 76 |
| 83 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 89 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Area | otal | 76 |

Estimated catch rate of broad whitefish by mesh size irı the Nigiiq Charmel - 1987.

| Mesh Size ( mm ) | ar, Catch |  |  | Rate by Time Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Det <br> Det | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 11- \\ \text { Oct } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } 31- \\ \text { Oct } 30 \end{array}$ | Det 31Nav 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nav } 10- \\ & \text { Nov } 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Nigliq |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | * | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | . | 0 | 6 | 3.25 | 6.5 | 0 |
| 70 | . | $\rightarrow$ | - | E. 65 | . 6 | - |
| 76 |  | 0 | 4.37 | 1.22 | . 72 | 1. อ巳 |
| 83 |  | 0 | 1.7E | . 23 | -1E | 0 |
| 89 |  | $\bigcirc$ | - 48 | . 18 | . $E$ | . 02 |
| 102 |  | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| uriknown |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nanuk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | - | . - | - | - | - |
| 64 |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 |  | - | - | .15 | 0 | 0 |
| 83 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 89 |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Niglig Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 76 |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 83 |  | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| 89 |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 |  | - | - - | - | - | - |

```
Estimated total catch of broad whitefish by mesh size
in the Nigliq Chanmel - 1987.
```



Salinity measuremerits reconded ir the Kupigruak Charriel， 1387.

| Date | Depth (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | Statian 3.7 | Statior B． 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Det 33 |  |  |  | ： |
|  | 1 | E． 2 | －－ | F． 8 |
|  | e | こ4． | －－ | 24．E |
|  | 3 | 25． 1 | －－ | E6． 0 |
|  | 4 | 25． 8 | － | Eヒ． 8 |
|  | 5 | 튼． 8 | －－ | こ7．8 |
|  | 6 | － | － | 28． 0 |
| Oct 24 | 1 | E．O | －－ | 1.5 |
|  | e | 24.8 | －－ | 21．${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
|  | 3 | 25.0 | －－ | 25． 0 |
|  | 4 | 25．${ }^{\text {® }}$ | －－ | ES． 0 |
|  | 5 | 25． 8 | －－ | $\text { e5. } 0$ |
|  | 6 | －－ | －－ | 26． 4 |
| Oct 25 | 1 | こ． 6 | －－ | 1.5 |
|  | $2$ | $20.8$ | －－ | 20． 1 |
|  | 3 | 24．0 | －－ | 23． |
|  | 4 | 24．6 | －－ | 23． |
|  | $5$ | 24．8 | －－ | $23.3$ |
|  | $E$ | －－ | $\cdots$ | $24 \cdot 1$ |
| Oct 26 | 1 | 20.8 | －－ | －－ |
|  | 2 | 23.0 | －－ | －－ |
|  | 3 | 25． 5 | －－ | －－ |
|  | 4 | 27． 1 | －－ | －－ |
|  | 5 | 27.1 | － | － |
|  | $E$ | 27.0 | －－ | －－ |
| Oct 27 | 1 | 7.3 | 5．9 | 4.0 |
|  | $\geq$ | 26． 9 | อ5． 2 | E3．6 |
|  | 3 | 27.0 | 26． 2 | 24.0 |
|  | 4 | 27.7 | 26． 2 | 24.0 |
|  | 5 | 27． 9 | 26． 2 | 24．0 |
|  | 6 | －－ | －－ | 24．0 |
| Oct 28 | 1 | －－ | －－ | $3.4$ |
|  | 2 | －－ | －－ | $23: 0$ |
|  | 3 | －－ | －－ | 23.8 |
|  | 4 | － | －－ | 24.0 |
|  | 5 | －－ | －－ | 24．2 |
|  | $6$ | －－ | －－ | 24.5 |


| Oct E9 | 1 | -- | 5.1 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | -- | 23.2 | 24.0 |
|  | 3 | -- | 25. 8 | 25.0 |
|  | 4 | -- | 26.1 | 25.0 |
|  | 5 | -- | 26.3 | 25. 1 |
|  | 6 | -- | -- | อง. 1 |
| Oct 30 | 1 | -- | 4. 3 | 2. 9 |
|  | 2 | -- | 23.5 | 24.7 |
|  | 3 | -- | 24.8 | 25. 2 |
|  | 4 | -- | 24.8 | 25. 3 |
|  | 5 | -- | 24.9 | 25. 8 |
|  | 6 | -- | -- | 26.1 |

Catch and effort of least cisco and Arctic cisco in the Colville River delta comercial fishery frote 1967 to 1987.

| Year | Effort (Net Days) | Least Cisco |  |  | Arctic Cisco |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total <br> Catch | CPLE | Pop. Estimate (x1000) | Total <br> Catch | CPUE | Pops Estinate $(\times 1000)$ |
| 1967 | 774 | 15,944 | 20.6 |  | 21,904 | 28.3 |  |
| 1968 | 1,427 | 19,122 | 13.4 |  | 41,954 | 29.4 |  |
| 1969 | 699 | 35,019 | 50.1 |  | 19,572 | 28.0 |  |
| 1970 | 562 | 30,629 | 54.5 |  | 22,705 | 40.4 |  |
| 1971 | 1,422 | 23,890 | 16.8 |  | 41,380 | 29.1 |  |
| 1972 | 646 | 12,209 | 18.9 |  | 37,080 | 57.4 |  |
| 1973 | 993 | 25,2¢2 | 25.4 |  | 71,595 | 72.1 |  |
| 1974 | 947 | 14, 110 | 14.9 |  | 44,983 | 47.5 |  |
| 1975 | 759 | 22,466 | 29.6 |  | 30,967 | 40.8 |  |
| 1976 | 996 | 37,051 | 37.2 | 305 | 31,673 | 31.8 | 777 |
| 1977 | 576 | 14,976 | 26.0 | 355 | 31,795 | 55.2 | 146 |
| 1978 | 1,077 | 25,740 | 23.9 | 434 | 18,094 | 16.8 | 202 |
| 1979 | 620 | 25,110 | 40.5 | 1,773 | 9,238 | 14.9 | 110 |
| 1980 | 1,209 | 31,459 | 26.0 |  | 14,657 | 12.1 |  |
| 1981 | 501 | 15,504 | 30.9 | 792 | 38,176 | 76.2 |  |
| 1982 | 328 | 27,085 | 82.6 | 329 | 15,975 | 48.7 |  |
| 1983 | 520 | 37,909 | 72.9 |  | 18,162 | 34.9 |  |
| 1984 | 371 | 13,076 | 35.2 | 423 | 27,677 | 74.6 | 978 |
| 1985 | 363 | 17,5\% | 48.5 | 333 | 23,678 | 65.2 | 1,139 |
| 1986 | 151 | 9,000 | 59.6 |  | 29,456 | 195.1 |  |
| 1987* | 180 | 4,214 | 23.4 |  | 14,788 | 82.2 |  |

* 1987 data do not include the effort and catches by Bud Helmericks, who operated another fishery in 1987, about 1 nile downstreas frow Jis's nets

Calculation of decrease in tagged least cisco in the Colville River delta comercial fishery, 1980 to 1987.


Number of tags recaptured per 20,000 fish examined

| Release Year | Number Recaptured per 20,000 fish exanined In: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 20.34 | 25. 80 | 5.91 | 6.33 | 4.59 | 5.68 | 4.44 | 1.68 |
| 1981 |  | 116.10 | 114.45 | 63.89 | 79.54 | 51.15 | 31.11 | 31.83 |
| 1982(E) |  |  | 114.45 | 25.32 | 33. 65 | 22.73 | 15.56 | 10.05 |
| 1982 (W) |  |  | 64.98 | 29.54 | 21.41 | 12.50 | 8. 89 | 3.35 |
| 1983 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | -- |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  | 464.97 | 361.45 | 322.22 | 196.00 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  | 495.57 | 284.44 | 234.53 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

Percent Recaptured

| Release |  | Percent Recaptured After Years ft Large |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 1980 | 1.91 | 2.42 | . 55 | . 59 | . 43 | . 53 | . 42 | . 16 |  |
| 1981 | 1.89 | 1.86 | 1.36 | 1.29 | . 83 | . 51 | . 52 |  |  |
| 1982(E) | 6.37 | 1.41 | 1.87 | 1.26 | . 87 | . 56 |  |  |  |
| 1982(W) | 3.05 | 1.39 | 1.00 | . 59 | . 42 | . 16 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 3.29 | 2.56 | 2.28 | 1.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 5.00 | 2.87 | 2.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 3.58 | 2.08 | 1.57 | 1.02 | . 64 | . 44 | . 47 | . 16 |  |
| SD $=$ | 1.78 | . 62 | . 73 | . 4 | . 25 | . 19 | . 07 | 0 |  |

## 隹gression of recapture percent v5. years at large:

$\ln ($ recap $x)=1.621-0.3985$ (year at large) $r=-0.981$
(1982E = 1982 Endicott Baseline Study, 1982N = 1982 PEU Waterflood Monitoring Study)

Calculation of decrease in tagged Arctic cisco in the Colville River delta connercial fishery, 1980 to 1987.


Percent Recaptured

| Release |  | Percent |  | Recaptured After |  | Years At Large |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1980 | 5. 96 | 1.37 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | :00 |
| 1981 | 1. 85 | . 29 | . 25 | . 00 | . 0 | . 00 | .00 |  |
| 1982(E) | 2. 28 | 1.00 | . 33 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |
| 1982(W) | 3.45 | 1.01 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |  |
| 1984 | 1.09 | . 61 | . 41 | . 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 1.06 | . 67 | . 53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hean | 2.62 | . 83 | . 25 | . 06 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| $5 \mathrm{D}=$ | 1.86 | . 38 | . 25 | .13 |  |  |  |  |

Regression of recapture percent v5. years at large:
$\ln ($ recap $x)=2.277-1.253$ (year at largel $r=-0.9987$
(1989F = 1982 Endicott Baseline Study, 1982N = 1982 PRU Waterflood Monitoring Study)

Length frequencies of Arctic and least cisco by mesh size from the Colville River village and commercial fall fisheries, 1985-1987.


| Length $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Village | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & \text { Comm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | Village | $\begin{array}{r} 1986 \\ \text { Comm } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | Village | 1987 <br> Comm | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{-}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 320 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| 330 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 12 | 28 | 40 |
| 340 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 112 | 0 | 112 | 20 | 64 | 84 |
| 350 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 16 | 88 | 104 |
| 360 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 65 | 85 |
| 370 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 36 | 43 |
| 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 400 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 420 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{-}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 29 | 0 | 29 | 386 | 0 | 386 | 83 | 299 | 382 |



| Least cisco: 76 mm mesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Village | 1985 <br> Comm | Total | Village | $\begin{aligned} & 1986 \\ & \text { Comm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | Village | $\begin{aligned} & 1987 \\ & \text { Comm } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 240 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 250 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0, | 0 | 0 |
| 260 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 270 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 280 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 290 | 14 | 12 | 26 | 8 | 20 | 28 | 3 | 13 | 16 |
| 300 | 26 | 29 | 55 | 19 | 45 | 64 | 7 | 52 | 59 |
| 310 | 44 | 34 | 78 | 26 | 54 | 80 | 12 | 60 | 72 |
| 320 | 56 | 47 | 103 | 25 | 43 | 68 | 10 | 81 | 91 |
| 330 | 42 | 45 | 87 | 19 | 36 | 55 | 7 | 58 | 65 |
| 340 | 29 | 17 - | 46 | 8 | 17 | 25 | 6 | 48 | 54 |
| 350 | 16 | 7 | 23 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 3 | 22 | 25 |
| 360 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| 370 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 420 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{2}$ |
| 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 249 | 200 | 449 | 120 | 250 | 370 | 52 | 348 | 400 |

Length frequencies of fretic cisco caught in gill nets (7E-min mestı) and fyke nets, 1984-1987.

| Langth Interval (匹IR) | Percent of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 <br> Commercial <br> Gill Net | $\begin{gathered} 1984 \\ \text { Fyke Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & \text { Uillage } \\ & \text { Gill Net } \end{aligned}$ | 1945 Comnercial Gill Net | 19195 <br> Fyke Net | 1986 Village Gill Net | 1966 <br> Commercial <br> Gill Net | 19B6 <br> Fyke Net | $\begin{aligned} & 1997 \\ & \text { Village } \\ & \text { Gill Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \\ \text { Conmercial } \\ \text { Gill Net } \end{gathered}$ | 198? <br> Fuke int |
| 240 | . 0 | 20.5 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 3 | . 0 | . 0 |  |
| 250 | . 0 | 13.1 | . 0 | . 2 | 1.9 | . 1 | . 0 | .4 | . 0 | . 0 | . 8 |
| 260 | . 0 | 10.0 | . 2 | . 0 | 4.5 | . 1 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 4 |
| 270 | 10.2 | 9.8 | . 2 | . 2 | 8.5 | . 0 | . 0 | . 6 | .0 | . 0 | . 7 |
| 280 | 17.0 | 11.1 | . 0 | . 4 | 9.3 | . 1 | . 0 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.7 |
| 290 | 25.0 | 10.8 | . 2 | 1.5 | 10.2 | 1.4 | . 4 | 4.9 | . 6 | . 0 | . 2 |
| 300 | 27.3 | 9.6 | 2.6 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 1 4.7 | 3.2 | 9.6 | . 6 | . 0 | . 3 |
| 310 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 19.4 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 5.8 |
| 320 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 16.7 | 21.7 | 12.6 | 21.6 | 20.4 | 18.3 | 11.9 | 6.3 | 6.5 |
| 330 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 12.1 | 22.2 | 19.6 | 17.8 | 20.8 | 17.4 | 19.9 |
| 340 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 22.1 | 14.1 | 0.6 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 13.9 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 21.4 |
| 350 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 19.3 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 18.5 | 26.6 | 19.3 |
| 360 | . 0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 11.9 | 14.0 | 11.8 |
| 370 | . 0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 7.2 |
| 380 | . 0 | . 5 | . 9 | . 6 | 1.8 | . 7 | 1.6 | . 8 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| 390 | . 0 | . 1 | . 7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | - 3 | . 4 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.1 |
| 400 | . 0 | . 0 | . 5 | . 2 | . 0 | . 1 | . 0 | . 1 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | 8日 | 2380 | 430 | 474 | 2178 | 1178 | 250 | 1883 | 480 | 350 | 998 |

Langth frequencies of least eisco caught in gill nets (76-mm mesh) arid fyke net.s, 1984-19日G.

| Length | Percent of Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1984 <br> Fyke Net | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lsigs } \\ & \text { Village } \\ & \text { Gill Net } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1985 <br> Fuke Net | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1986 } \\ & \text { Uillage } \\ & \text { Gill Net } \end{aligned}$ | 1986 <br> Conimercial <br> Gill Net | $\begin{gathered} 1986 \\ \text { Fyke Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1907 \\ & \text { Village } \\ & \text { Gill Net } \end{aligned}$ | $1919{ }^{7}$ <br> Contmerc:ial <br> Gill Net |
| Interval <br> (anc) | 1984 <br> Commercial Gill Net |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1965 \\ \text { Commercial } \\ \text { Gill Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240 | - 0 | .0 | -. 0 | -3 | 1.日 | -0 | . 4 | . 4 | -0 | -0 0 |
| 250 | . 3 | 6.0 | . 6 | . 3 | 2.0 | . 0 | . 4 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 250 | . 5 | 7.2 | 2.4 | - 2 | 2.5 | . 0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 270 | 2.5 | 8.8 | . 4 | . 0 | 3.6 | . 0 | 1.2 | 4.0 | . 0 | . 6 |
| 280 | 5.1 | 11.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 5.6 | . 7 | 4.0 | 6.4 | . 5 | 1.1 |
| 290 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 3.7 |
| 300 | 19.1 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 12.5 | $12 . \mathrm{B}$ | 11.5 | 18.0 | 13.9 | 7.7 | 14.9 |
| 310 | 23.9 | 12.8 | 16.1 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 20.3 | 21.6 | 16.1 | 19.2 | 17.1 |
| $3: 20$ | 20.1 | 10.9 | 23.7 | 23.5 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 19.2 | z'3.1 |
| 3:50 | 12.7 | Q. 0 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 23.0 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 17.3 | 16.6 |
| 3.40 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 15.3 | 9.4 | 8.2 | B. 1 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 15.4 | 13.7 |
| 350 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| 360 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | . 8 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 2.0 |
| 370 | . 0 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 7 | 1.4 | . 0 | . 7 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 3 O | . 0 | . 1 | . 4 | . 5 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 3 |
| 390 | . 0 | -1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 2 | . 0 | .0 | - 1 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 400 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | - 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
|  | 393 | 16261 | 249 | 663 | 16,050 | 149 | 250 | 10747 | 52 | 350 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Historically, fish of the genus Salvelinus caught along the Beaufort and Bering sea coasts of Alaska have been identified as Actic char (S. alpinus). Morrow (1980) and Behnke (1980; 1984) demonstrated that thewse fish are identical to the northern form of Dolly Varden char (S. malma). Behnke (1980; 1984) points out that these char are identical to Dolly Varden char from the type locality in Kamchatka. Because the weight of recent taxonomic evidence appears to favor the $\underline{S}$. malma designation, these fish are called Dolly Varden char in this report.

[^1]:    1 Hean catch rate (number of fish per net-day) for the 10-day interval
    2 Standard deviation
    3 Number of catch samples

[^2]:    1 Matan catch rate (number of fish per net-day) for the 10-day interval 2 Standard deviation
    3 Nusber of catch samples

[^3]:    1 Mean catch rate (number of fish per net-day) for the 10 -day interval
    2 Standard deviation
    3 Number of catch samples

